

# IRRATIONAL NUMBERS ARISING FROM CERTAIN DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

M. RAM MURTY AND V. KUMAR MURTY

Niven [3] gave a simple proof that  $\pi$  is irrational. Koksma [2] modified Niven's proof to show that  $e^r$  is irrational for every non-zero rational  $r$ . Dixon [1] made a similar modification to show that  $\pi$  is not algebraic of degree 2. In this note, we prove a general theorem which gives Niven's and Koksma's results as easy corollaries. A suitable modification in our proof also gives Dixon's result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be non-trivial solution of the equation*

$$L(u) = p_0u^{(n)} + p_1u^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_nu = 0$$

where  $p_i$  are rational numbers and  $p_n \neq 0$ . If  $b > 0$  is such that  $G(x) \geq 0$  on  $[0, b]$  and  $G^{(i)}(0)$ ,  $G^{(i)}(b)$  are rational for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , then  $b$  is irrational.

*Proof.* Without any loss of generality, we may suppose that the  $p_i$  are integers. Suppose  $b$  is rational and set  $b = p/q$ ,  $(p, q) = 1$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Set  $f_m(x) = 1/m!(qx)^m(p - qx)^m$ , where  $m$  is a natural number. It is easy to see that  $f_m^{(k)}(0)$  are integers for  $k \geq 0$  and since  $f_m(x) = f_m(b - x)$ , the same is true of  $f_m^{(k)}(b)$ . Now define the sequence  $\{t_k\}$  recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= 1, \\ p_n t_1 - p_{n-1} t_0 &= 0, \\ p_n t_2 - p_{n-1} t_1 + p_{n-2} t_0 &= 0, \\ p_n t_{n-1} - p_{n-1} t_{n-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} p_1 t_0 &= 0, \\ p_n t_{n+r} - p_{n-1} t_{n+r-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n p_0 t_r &= 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $p_n^k t_k$  is an integer for  $k \geq 0$ . Let

$$F_m(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{2m} t_r f_m^{(r)}(x).$$

If  $L^*$  is the adjoint of  $L$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^*(F_m(x)) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k p_{n-k} F_m^{(k)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k p_{n-k} \sum_{r=0}^{2m} t_r f_m^{(r+k)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{2m} f_m^{(s)}(x) \sum_{r+k=s} (-1)^k p_{n-k} t_r = p_n f_m(x). \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors Sept. 15, 1976.

Letting

$$\begin{aligned} P(u, v) &= u \left[ p_{n-1}v - \frac{d}{dx}(p_{n-2}v) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}}(p_0v) \right] + \\ &\quad \frac{du}{dx} \left[ p_{n-2}v - \frac{d}{dx}(p_{n-3}v) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-2} \frac{d^{n-2}}{dx^{n-2}}(p_0v) \right] + \\ &\quad + \cdots + \frac{d^{n-1}u}{dx^{n-1}}(p_0v), \end{aligned}$$

we have by Lagrange's identity,

$$F_m(x)L(G) - G(x)L^*(F_m(x)) = \frac{d}{dx}P(G, F_m),$$

so that

$$- \int_0^b p_n f_m(x)G(x) dx = [P(G, F_m)]_0^b$$

since  $L(G) = 0$ . As  $p_n^k t_k$  is an integer, it follows that  $p_n^{2m} F_m^{(w)}(x)$  is an integer for  $x = 0$  and  $b, w \geq 0$ . Thus, if  $A$  denotes the products of the denominators of  $G^{(i)}(0)$  and  $G^{(i)}(b)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  (when expressed in lowest terms),  $Ap_n^{2m} [P(G, F_m)]_0^b$  is an integer for every  $m$ . Now

$$Ap_n^{2m} [P(G, F_m)]_0^b = -Ap_n^{2m+1} \int_0^b f_m(x)G(x) dx.$$

If  $B$  and  $C$  are such that  $|G(x)| \leq B, |qx(p-qx)| \leq C$  on  $[0, b]$  we have

$$0 < Ap_n^{2m+1} \left| \int_0^b f_m(x)G(x) dx \right| < \frac{bB Ap_n^{2m+1} C^{2m}}{m!}.$$

If  $m$  is sufficiently large, the right hand side is  $< 1$ , giving a contradiction. Hence  $b$  is irrational.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** (1)  $\pi^2$  is irrational, (hence so also is  $\pi$ ). (2)  $\log r$  is irrational for every rational  $r > 0, r \neq 1$ . (3)  $e^r, \sin r, \cos r, \cosh r, \sinh r$  are irrational for every non-zero rational  $r$ .

*Proof.* If  $\pi^2$  is irrational, consider  $y'' + \pi^2 y = 0$  which has a solution  $(1/\pi) \sin \pi x$ . For  $b = 1$ , we get a contradiction. This proves (1). (2) and (3) are proved similarly, using the equation  $y' - y = 0$  or  $y'' \pm y = 0$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is more arithmetical in nature.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G$  be a non-trivial solution of  $y^{(n)} + ty = 0$  where  $t = (u/v), (u, v) = 1$ , is a non-zero rational. Suppose  $G^{(i)}(0)$  is rational for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  and for some  $r \neq 0$  with  $(r, n) = 1$ , we have  $G^{(r)}(0) \neq 0$ . If  $\beta$  is a non-zero rational, then  $G^{(n-1)}(\beta)$  is irrational.

*Proof.* Let  $\beta = (a/b), (a, b) = 1$ . Define

$$f_p(x) = \frac{(\beta - x)^{np} [\beta^n - (\beta - x)^n]^{p-1} b^{np+(n-1)(p-1)}}{(p-1)!},$$

where  $p$  is a prime soon to be specified. If we compute the  $t_k$  in Theorem 1 for the equation  $y^{(n)} + ty = 0$ , we find  $t_k = 0$  if  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  and in case  $k = sn$ ,  $t_{sn} = (-1)^{sn-s}t^s$ . If we set

$$F_p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^M t_k f_p^{(k)}(x)$$

where  $M = n(2p - 1)$ , we have as in Theorem 1,  $L^*(F_p(x)) = t f_p(x)$ , where  $L^*$  is the adjoint of  $L(y) = y^{(n)} + ty$ . Since  $f_p$  is a polynomial of degree  $M$ ,  $f_p^{(k)}(x) \equiv 0$  for  $k > M$ . If we set  $\beta - x = y$  and  $g_p(y) = y^{np}(\beta^n - y^n)^{p-1}$ , then

$$g_p(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i \beta^{n(p-1-i)} \binom{p-1}{i} y^{n(p+1)}$$

from which it follows at once that  $f_p^{(k)}(\beta) = 0$  for all  $k \neq n(p+i)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq p-1$  and

$$f_p^{(k)}(\beta) = (-1)^{n(p+i)+i} \frac{b^{M-p+1}}{(p-1)!} \beta^{n(p-1-i)} \binom{p-1}{i} [n(p+i)]!$$

for  $k = n(p+i)$ . Hence  $v^{2p-1}F_p(\beta)$  is an integer divisible by  $p$ . Since  $f_p$  has a zero of order  $p-1$  at  $x = 0$ , we have  $f_p^{(k)}(0) = 0$  for  $k < p-1$ . Writing  $f_p(x) = (x^{p-1}/(p-1)!)h_p(x)$  we see from  $(p-1)!f_p^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} [x^{p-1}]^{(s)} [h_p(x)]^{(k-s)}$

that  $f_p^{(k)}(0) = \binom{k}{p-1} h_p^{(k-p+1)}(0)$  for  $k \geq p-1$ . Clearly  $f_p^{(k)}(0)$  is an integer as  $h_p^{(k-p+1)}(0)$  is an integer. Also  $\binom{k}{p-1}$  is divisible by  $p$  if  $k \geq p$ , and  $k \not\equiv -1$

(mod  $p$ ). If  $k \geq p$  and  $k - p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $h_p^{(k-p+1)}(0)$  is divisible by  $p$ . If  $k = p-1$ ,  $f_p^{(p-1)}(0) = n^{p-1} a^{np+(n-1)(p-1)}$ . Hence,  $f_p^{(k)}(0)$  is divisible by  $p$  unless  $k = p-1$ . As  $(r, n) = 1$ , let  $p$  be a prime  $> na$ , congruent to  $-r$  (mod  $n$ ). As  $G^{(r)}(0) \neq 0$ , and  $p-1 \equiv n-r-1 \pmod{n}$ , the term  $f_p^{(p-1)}(0)$  occurs once and only once in  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k G^{(k)}(0) F_p^{(n-k-1)}(0)$  and that is in the expression for  $F_p^{(n-r-1)}(0)$ . Let  $N$  be the product of all the denominators of the rationals  $G(0), G'(0), \dots, G^{(n-1)}(0), G^{(n-1)}(\beta)$ . (Here we are supposing  $G^{(n-1)}(\beta)$  is rational and will arrive at a contradiction). Thus, if  $p > \max(na, NG^{(r)}(0), wv)$ , all terms in

$$Nv^{2p-1} \{ G^{(n-1)}(\beta) F_p(\beta) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k G^{(k)}(0) F_p^{(n-k-1)}(0) \}$$

are divisible by  $p$  except one term (the one involving  $G^{(r)}(0) \neq 0$ ). Now, as in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} -uNv^{2p} \int_0^\beta G(x) f_p(x) dx &= Nv^{2p-1} \{ G^{(n-1)}(\beta) \\ &\quad \times F_p(\beta) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k G^{(k)}(0) F_p^{(n-k-1)}(0) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows  $uNv^{2p} \int_0^\beta G(x) f_p(x) dx \neq 0$  for an infinity of primes  $p$ , using Dirichlet's theorem. On the other hand, we know  $uNv^{2p} \int_0^\beta G(x) f_p(x) dx$  is an

integer. This is a contradiction since

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left| uNv^{2p} \int_0^\beta G(x) f_p(x) dx \right| = 0.$$

This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $G$  a non-trivial solution of  $y^{(p)} + ty = 0$ ,  $t$  a non-zero rational. If  $G(0), \dots, G^{(p-1)}(0)$  are rational and at least two of them are non-zero, then  $G(\beta), G'(\beta), \dots, G^{(p-1)}(\beta)$  are irrational for any non-zero rational  $\beta$ .*

*Remark 1.* The case  $p = 2$  has been covered by a corollary of Theorem 1.

*Proof.* As at least two of  $G(0), G'(0), \dots, G^{(p-1)}(0)$  are non-zero, there is an  $r$  such that  $G^{(r)}(0) \neq 0$  and  $(r, p) = 1$ . The conditions of the theorem are satisfied and so  $G^{(p-1)}(\beta)$  is irrational. As  $G^{(i)}(x)$  also satisfies the conditions of the theorem for  $0 < i \leq p - 1$  the result follows.  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* We would like to thank Professor P. R. Beesack for his helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. D. Dixon,  $\pi$  is not algebraic of degree one or two, Amer. Math. Monthly, **69** (1962), 632.
- [2] J. F. Koksma, On Niven's proof that  $\pi$  is irrational, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, (**2**) **23** (1949), 39.
- [3] I. Niven, A simple proof that  $\pi$  is irrational, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **53** (1947), 509.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CARLETON UNIVERSITY, OTTAWA, ONTARIO